STATINTL Approved For Release 2001/03/04/1 CTA-RDP80-01601R0

Viet Problem Befell

This is the third of 12 articles excerpted from Lyndon Johnson's book, "The Vantage Point."

By Lyndon Baines Johnson

President Kennedy be-lieved in our nation's commitment to the security of Southeast Asia, a commitment made in the SEATO Treaty and strengthened by his predecessor, President Eisenhower. President Kennedy had explained on many occasions the reasons he took this position. By late 1963 he had sent approximately 16,000 American troops to South Vietnam to make good our SEATO pledge.

My first exposure to details of the problem of Vietnam came forty-eight hours after I had taken the oath of office. Ambassador Henry Cabot Lodge had flown to Washington a few days carlier for scheduled conferences with President Kennedy, Sec- He had information that the retary of State Dean Rusk enemy was preparing to and other administration officials.

I sent for him and asked him to give me a firsthand that I had serious misgivings. account of recent events. I wanted his estimate and felt the removal of Diem and vations. it was important that he go were shocked by his murder. back to Saigon with a clear understanding of my persontor John McCone and Mc-George Bundy.

He believed the recent change of government in Sai-tively. gon was an improvement. He was hopeful and expected been happy with what I had speed up their war efforts, erations in Vietnam earlier in He stated that our govern- the year. There had been too nam! received during those encouraged the military leaders who carried out the coup



STATINTL

be alive. In his last talk with new director of the U.S. In-Diem on the afterneon of No- formation Agency (USIA) opvember 1, Lodge had offered to help assure the Vietnamese leader's personal safety, but Diem had ignored the land had replaced Gen. Paul

and asked what his reports from Saigon in recent days Ambassador Lodge, I disindicated. The CIA director replied that his estimate was. much less encouraging. There had been an increase in Viet Cong activity since the coup, including more VC attacks. exert even more severe pres-

Many people were criticizing

CONGRESSIONAL al views. We met in my of-mands for our withdrawal fice in the Executive Office from Vietnam were becoming portant to underline, espe-Building. Secretaries Rusk louder and more insistent. I and McNamara were there, thought we had been mistakas well as Under Secretary of en in our failure to support State George Ball, CIA Directonicm. But all that, I said, was behind us. Now we had to concentrate on accomplishing our goals. We had to LODGE WAS optimistic. help the new government get on its feet and perform effec-

I told Lodge that I had not the new military leaders to read about our mission's opment had put pressure on the much internal dissension. I regime of Ngo Dinh Diem to wanted him to develop a change its course. Those strong team: I wanted them pressures, he admitted, had to work together; and I wanted the Ambassador to advisers in Washington. I be the sole boss. I assured had one important reservahim of full support in Wash-

Lodge said, they would still deputy, a new CIA chief, a erations -- and replacements for other key posts in the U.S. Embassy. By midyear Gen. William C. Westmore-Harkins as head of our Mili-I turned to John McCone Vary Assistance Command.

. In addition to my talk with cussed the Honolulu meeting, held just before the assassination, with some of the principal participants - especially Rusk and McNamara and with Mac Bundy and others. The net result of the Honolulu briefings and discussions was a modestly encouraging assessment of pros-I told Lodge and the others pects in Vietnam, though Secretaries Rusk and McNamara expressed some reser-

> PRESIDENT KENNEDY'S principal foreign affairs advisers agreed that it was imcially within government circles, the continuity of policy and direction under the new President, 1 agreed, It was my first important decision on Vietnam as President, important not because it required any new actions but because it signaled our deter-, mination to persevere in the policies and actions in which we were already engaged.

This was the view of Vietfirst few tense days in office. It was a view shared by the top levels of our mission in Saigon and by my principal tion about this generally

lieved the assassination of President Diem had created more problems for the Vietnamese than it had solved. I saw little evidence that men of experience and ability were available in Vietnam, ready to help lead their country, I was deeply concerned that worse political turmoil might lie ahead in Saigon.

As I dug deeper into the Vietnam situation over the following weeks, I became convinced that the problem was considerably more serious than earlier reports had indicated. Rusk. McNamara. McCone, Bundy and others shared my growing concern. At the beginning of December I read a review of the military situation developed by the State Department's intelligence analysts.

THIS REPORT concluded that the military effort had been deteriorating in important ways for several months. Early in December Ambassador Lodge sent in a detailed study of a key province prepared by one of his field representatives. The document reported that in that northern delta province "the past thirty days have produced . . . a day-by-day increase in Viet Cong influence, military operations, physical control of the countryside, and Communist-controlled combat hamlets."

I believe two things were wrong with the reporting in 1963; an excess of wishful thinking on the part of some official observers and too much uncritical reliance on Victnamese statistics and information. Many Vietnamese

on November 1, 1963. How him of full support in Wash tion about this generally formation. Many vietnations ever, Approveds Form Release 2001/03/04 to CIAPRDP80-01601R00030036005612 is in the Nhu had followed his advice, months we sent Lodge a new

Continued